

H.361 – Education Funding, Spending, and Governance

As Introduced – *Integrating Proposed Committee Amendments AND Individual Amendments Offered at the Request of Committees*

Section by Section Summary ***DRAFT – as of 3/25/15***

Sec. 1. Education Policy Goals; Performance Measures; Guidelines

[Rep Christie at the request of House Education; First Proposal of Amendment]

Subsection (a) states that the intent of the legislation is to move the State toward integrated education systems responsible for equitable delivery of high quality education through revised governance structures that lead students to meet or achieve the State’s Education Quality Standards, increase transparency and accountability, and are delivered at cost parents, voters, and taxpayers value.

Subsection (b) states that the legislation is designed to encourage and support local decisions leading to the stated goals.

Subsection (c) requires that the State Board of Education will adopt performance measures by 12/31/15 for each policy goal as part of the Agency’s ongoing work to develop indicators for the State’s Education Quality Standards.

Subsection (d) requires that, based on the adopted performance measures in Sec. 1(c), the State Board of Education will issue guidelines by 12/31/15 to assist:

- (1) districts to develop governance proposals; and*
- (2) the State Board of Education to evaluate the proposals.*

Secs. 2–5. Yield; Dollar Equivalent

[House Ways and Means; First Proposal of Amendment]

These Sections replace the base education amount currently used to calculate base tax rates each year with a different “dollar equivalent yield” for two groups:

- (1) taxpayers who pay on the value of their property*
- (2) taxpayers who receive an income sensitivity adjustment.*

The “dollar equivalent yield” is the amount of per pupil spending that could be supported each year by a fixed homestead base tax of \$1.00 for property payers, and by a fixed applicable income percentage of 2.0 for income payers.

The Tax Commissioner would propose each dollar equivalent yield annually, but it would be the General Assembly responsibility to establish each dollar equivalent annually.

Sec. 2: In a dollar equivalent yield system, the base education amount is replaced by either the property dollar equivalent yield or the income dollar equivalent yield. The changes in Sec. 2 make it clear that the base education amount is no longer used to calculate tax rates.

Sec. 2a replaces the current district spending adjustment with an education property tax spending adjustment and an education income tax spending adjustment. Both of these spending adjusters incorporate the dollar equivalent yield number as the denominator, rather than the base education amount. Creates new definitions for “property dollar equivalent yield” at \$1.00, and “income dollar equivalent yield” at 2.0%.

Sec. 3 sets the base homestead rate at \$1.00 and applies the new education property tax spending adjustment to that base rate.

Sec. 4 applies the new education income tax spending adjustment to the applicable percentage for determining property tax adjustments.

Sec. 4a authorizes Legislative Council to rename the “applicable percentage” used to calculate property tax adjustments as the “income percentage”.

Sec. 4b makes a conforming change in the language that specifies how property taxes are calculated for the unorganized towns and gores.

Sec. 5 strikes the current law that requires the Commissioner of Taxes to recommend a base homestead and nonresidential rate for the upcoming year, and inserts language requiring the Commissioner to recommend a property dollar equivalent yield and an income dollar equivalent yield. Specifies that the General Assembly must set the property dollar equivalent yield and an income dollar equivalent yield each year.

Effective Date: July 1, 2015; applies to fiscal year 2017 and after

Secs. 6–7. FY16 Property Tax Rates, Applicable Percentage, and Base Education Amount

[House Ways and Means; Second Proposal of Amendment]

Sec. 6 establishes the fiscal year 2016 property tax rates at \$1.525 and \$0.98. Sec. 6 also sets the applicable percentage at no less than 1.94 percent.

Sec. 7 sets the base education amount at \$9,459.00.

Effective Date: July 1, 2015; applies to fiscal year 2016

Secs. 8–9. Ballot Language; Per Pupil Spending

Sec. 8 requires the Warning for a school district’s proposed budget to state (1) the total budget in terms of per equalized pupil spending and (2) the percentage increase or decrease of per equalized pupil spending in relation to previous year.

Secs. 8 and 9 repeal language that is no longer in effect regarding presenting budgets by means of a divided vote. (The requirement applied to budgets for 2009–2010 through 2013–2014 only, but did not include a provision to repeal it from the statutes.)

Effective Date: July 1, 2015

Secs. 10–11. Merger Support Grants (for Districts Receiving Small School Grants)

Current law provides small school grants based on numbers calculated in relation to district size. If an eligible district merges with another district, then it may become ineligible for small school grants. As an incentive to create a Regional Education District (RED), Act 153 of 2010 permitted a newly created RED (or any one of its variations) to continue to receive the small school grant for five years as a Merger Support Grant in an amount equal to the small school grant received two years prior to merger.

Sec. 10 removes the five year limitation from the Merger Support Grants provided to REDs and their variations, allowing the grant to continue unless and until a merged district closes the school that created the original eligibility for the small school grant. If closure of the school is connected with the consolidation of schools into a new building or into an existing building that needs renovation, then the grant is paid until the bonded indebtedness is retired.

Sec. 11:

Subsection (a) provides Merger Support Grants, as amended by Sec. 10, to districts that merge pursuant to Sec. 17 of this act, provided that the merger receives final approval of the electorate in all “necessary districts” by November 30, 2017 and the new district is operational by July 1, 2019.

Subsection (b) provides Merger Support Grants, as amended by Sec. 10, to districts that enter into a contract to operate a school or schools jointly, provided that the electorate of all affected districts vote favorably for the terms of the final contract by November 30, 2017.

Effective Date: On passage

Sec. 12. Small Schools Support

Amends current small school grants beginning in FY2020 by limiting grants to districts:

- with an average grade size of 20 or fewer students,
- that participated in a merger study committee, and
- that the State Board of Education determines to be eligible annually due to the school's high student-to-staff ratios, lengthy driving times or inhospitable routes to the nearest school(s) with excess capacity, and success in meeting educational quality standards

In addition, in FY2020 the section repeals the additional small schools financial stability grant provided to districts with rapidly declining populations. It also repeals obsolete language.

Effective Date: July 1, 2019; applies to fiscal year 2020 and after

Secs. 13–14. Declining Enrollment; 3.5% Hold Harmless Provision

Under current law, the 3.5 % hold harmless provision is applied to the prior year's inflated equalized pupils. Sec. 13 removes the "tail" by applying the 3.5% to the district's actual equalized pupils from the prior year, so a district's percentage of "phantom pupils" is never more than 3.5%. This would begin to apply beginning in FY2017.

Sec. 14 transitions districts that currently have inflated equalized pupils to the new system over a three year period (so Sec. 13 applies to these districts in FY2019).

Effective Dates: Sec. 13: July 1, 2016; Sec. 14: July 1, 2015

Secs. 15–16. Tuition Payments

Prohibits payment of publicly funded tuition dollars to schools outside the State or country, except in any of the following situations:

- the school that is located outside Vermont is part of an interstate school district
- all resident students of a district in one or more grades attend a school outside Vermont pursuant to statute
- the State Board determines that payment to a school outside Vermont is geographically necessary
- some or all career technical students attend a school outside Vermont pursuant to statute
- a student attends a school outside Vermont pursuant to his or her IEP (residential or day)
- the school is in a community in NH, MA, NY, or Canada that borders Vermont

Effective Date: July 1, 2015; applies to fiscal year 2017 & **grandfathers current students**

Sec. 17. Integrated Education Systems

[Rep Christie at the request of House Education; Second Proposal of Amendment]

Subsection (a) requires that by July 1, 2019 the State shall provide educational opportunities through integrated education systems:

- *that are responsible for the education of all prekindergarten through grade 12 students,*
- *that are designed to improve transparency and accountability*
- *that promote stable, affordable education costs*
- *that advance goals set forth in Sec. 1*

Subsection (b) requires each district to form a study committee with one or more other districts within or outside its supervisory union, including those with similar patterns of school operation and tuition payment. The committee prepares a study report (Report) pursuant to 16 V.S.A. chapter 11 proposing how best to create either a new prekindergarten–grade 12 education district or an integrated education system with more than one district {see (c)(3) below}, that provides for the education of resident prekindergarten through grade 12 students by realigning neighboring districts.

As provided in chapter 11, once approved by the State Board of Education and subsequently approved by the electorate of each district, the Report becomes the new entity's articles of agreement.

Reimbursement of planning costs and other forms of financial assistance for transition are available as provided in Act 156 of 2012.

Subsection (c) addresses the size and structure of integrated education systems under the bill.

[ADM] Subdivision (1) requires that each integrated education system has a minimum average daily membership of 1,100, unless it is granted a waiver by the State Board of Ed.

[Districts] Subdivision (2) states that preferred structure for integrated education system is a single Education District (which is a supervisory district responsible for PK-12) that falls into one of four common structures:

- *operates PK/K–12*
- *operates PK/K–6 and pays tuition for grades 7–12*
- *operates PK/K–8 and pays tuition for grades 9–12*
- *tuitions all students in grades PK–12*

[SUs] Subdivision (3) acknowledges that a single preK–12 Education District may not be the best way to achieve the State’s goals in all regions of State AND ALSO that another structure might be necessary to meet the minimum ADM requirements (subdivision (1) above) or to protect tuition paying and operating districts (sub (g) below) or otherwise.

As a result, groups of districts may propose and the State Board may authorize the creation, expansion, or continuation of a supervisory union with member districts, each of which has its own board.

SUs proposed under this subdivision are encouraged to include the smallest number of districts practicable.

The State Board may approve an SU proposal only if the proposal provides for transparency and accountability, including in relation to the SU budget.

Subsection (d) requires the State Board of Education to evaluate the Reports pursuant to chapter 11 and determine whether the proposal is designed to create an integrated education system capable of achieving the goals and requirements set forth in the section. In addition, it requires the State Board to be mindful of districts that might be isolated if they are not included in the proposal and to direct the Secretary to work with the districts to achieve a proposal that meets the requirements without isolating a district. It authorizes, but does not require, the State Board to withhold approval from a proposal that isolates a district.

Subsection (e) permits (i) an existing supervisory district or (ii) a supervisory union in which it would be structurally simple to merge into a supervisory district to present a proposal without first convening a study committee. The district or districts would still be required to submit a Report as in (b) above and the State Board would evaluate the proposal exactly as it evaluates all proposals (including being mindful of isolating a district).

Subsection (f) addresses districts that don’t complete the process outlined above, or are unable to get a favorable vote of all “necessary” districts by November 30, 2017.

Subdivision (1) requires the Secretary of Education to review those districts and submit a proposal by July 1, 2108 to the State Board to realign any of those districts to the extent realignment is possible and necessary to achieve the goals and requirements of the section.

Subdivision (2) requires the State Board to approve the Secretary’s proposal, as presented or in an amended form, and to publish an order realigning the districts included in the approved proposal by September 1, 2018.

Subsection (g) excludes interstate districts from the requirements of the section.

Subsection (h) ensures that districts that pay tuition for resident students in some or all grades will not be required to stop paying tuition for their students. The subsection provides the same assurance to districts that choose to provide for the education of resident students in some or all grades by operating schools – nothing requires them to pay tuition for those students.

Effective Date: On passage

Sec. 18. Tax Incentives; Integrated Education Systems

[House Ways and Means; Third Proposal of Amendment]

Provides RED tax incentives (described explicitly) in FY 2020–2023 to any preK-12 district (Sec. 17) that receives final voter approval by November 30, 2017 and is operational by July 1, 2019. Cannot receive this incentive and RED tax incentives.

Effective Date: On passage

Secs. 19–19b. Repayment of State Construction Aid

[Rep Emmons at the request of House Corrections and Institutions; First and Second Proposals of Amendment]

Sec. 19 exempts union school districts, including REDs, and joint contract schools, from the statutory requirement that school districts repay a portion of State construction aid upon sale of a school building.

Sec. 19a directs the Agency of Education to conduct a review and submit a report on the schools districts that are subject to the statutory requirement to repay a portion of State construction aid upon sale of a school building, including the amount of aid received and refunded to the State, and the number of school districts that are not in use for any purpose. Due to House Committees on Education and on Corrections and Institutions, and Senate Committees on Education and Institutions by December 1, 2015.

Sec. 19b repeals the exemption from the repayment upon sale requirement for union schools districts, including REDs, and joint contract schools, on November 30, 2017.

Effective Date: On passage

Secs. 20–21. REDs – Dates and Technical Corrections

Current law provides RED incentives to REDs and the three variations if they are fully *operational* by July 1, 2107. The current section authorizing the incentives is mistakenly repealed on that same date.

Sec. 20 extends RED incentives to districts that receive *final approval* of the electorate in all “necessary districts” by November 30, 2017.

Sec. 21 replaces the repeal date with a reference to the dates determining eligibility.

Effective Date: On passage

Sec. 22. Recently Merged Districts; Articles of Agreement

Provides that if a RED or other district that is eligible to receive RED incentives received final electorate approval before the effective date of the section and if the merged district’s electorate-approved articles of agreement specifically identified the manner in which another district may join the merged district in the future, then nothing in the act abrogates or alters that provision.

Effective Date: On passage

Sec. 23. Statewide Integrated Financial Reporting and Accounting System; Statewide Integrated Longitudinal Student Data System

Requires the Agency of Education to fully implement the statewide integrated financial reporting and accounting system and the statewide integrated longitudinal student data system by July 1, 2017.

Effective Date: July 1, 2015

Sec. 24. Contract Imposition; Strikes; Binding Interest Arbitration

[Rep Christie at the request of House Education; Third Proposal of Amendment]

Deletes Sec 24, which required the Secretary of Education to evaluate current collective bargaining laws.

Sec. 25. Employee Transition to Newly Created Employers

Codifies as a new chapter 53, subchapter 3 in Title 16 several new statutory sections relating to the orderly transition of employees to a newly created district or to the supervisory union level, including protection of the interests of non-licensed employees in the Vermont Municipal Employees' Retirement System. Beginning in 2010, the General Assembly has enacted variations of this language as session law several times in connection with specific situations.

Effective Date: On passage; applies to a new employer that begins operations after that date

Sec. 26. Moratorium

Requires JFO to prepare a fiscal note for any legislation that it believes may have the effect of increasing education property taxes and imposes a moratorium through June 30, 2016 on any new legislation that JFO determines would do so.

Effective Date: On passage

Secs. 27–28. Education Spending Cap

[Rep Buxton at the request of House Education; First Proposal of Amendment]

Beginning in FY 2017, Sec. 27 caps a school district's allowable growth rate in education spending set at the greater of: (1) the total district education spending amount adopted in the previous year's budget, or (2) the district education spending per equalized pupil amount adopted in the previous year's budget multiplied by the district's equalized pupil count in the current year. The section sets a separate cap amount for nonoperating and partially operating school districts by basing the nonoperating portion of a budget on the highest amount of tuition paid for a student by the district in the current fiscal year, increased by the district's allowable growth rate. If a budget is approved by a school district that exceeds the cap, then the budget would be deemed to have failed to obtain approval of the voters. In addition, a school district is permitted to appeal the amount of the cap to the Agency of Education.

Sec. 28 repeals the education spending cap on December 31, 2018.

Effective Date: July 1, 2015; applies to proposed budgets for FYs 2017, 2018, and 2019

Sec. 29. Special Education Funding; Study

Requires the Secretary of Education to develop a proposal for an alternative method of funding special education services, which might be based in part on payments per average daily membership. Due January 15, 2016.

Effective Date: On passage

Sec. 30. Superintendents and Principals; Study

Requires the Secretary of Education, in consultation with other entities, to develop a proposal to clarify the roles and responsibilities of superintendents and principals. Due January 15, 2016.

Effective Date: On passage

Sec. 31. Property Tax Adjustment Lag; Study

Requires the Commissioner of Taxes to report on the steps that would be required to transition to calculation of the property tax adjustments under 32 V.S.A. chapter 154 on a current year basis. Due January 15, 2016.

Effective Date: On passage

Sec. 32. Adequacy Funding; Study

Directs the Joint Fiscal Office, in consultation with the President Pro Temp, Speaker, and Chairs of the Education Committees to develop requests for proposals to hire, by July 15, 2015, a consultant to conduct a study of adequacy-based funding. The section sets forth the standards and methods that should be used by the consultant to conduct the adequacy study. It also requires the consultant to incorporate certain data and studies into the adequacy study and to conduct public participation activities with interested stakeholders. The section provides the consultant with technical assistance from the Agency of Education, Department of Taxes, Joint Fiscal Office, and Office of Legislative Council. Due January 15, 2016.

Authorizes the Joint Fiscal Office to spend \$300,000.00 from the General Fund to pay for the study and related expenses.

Effective Date: On passage

Secs. 33–34. Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee; Transition

Creates a Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee in a new 2 V.S.A. chapter 29, consisting of three members from the House and three from the Senate, to monitor, evaluate, research, oversee, and provide a continuing review of matters concerning education policy, education funding, and student outcomes and the intersections of each with corrections, economic development, health care, and human services issues. The Committee would also provide information and assistance to other legislative committees on these matters and report at least once annually.

Effective Date: On passage

Sec. 35. Health Care Costs; Study

[Rep Christie at the request of House Education; Fourth Proposal of Amendment]

Requires the Director of Health Care Reform to consider alternatives available to school districts, supervisory unions, and their employees to address the high cost of health care. Among other options, the working group is required to consider the possibility of transitioning to plans offered through Vermont Health Connect (the Exchange), VEHI, or other mechanisms. Due November 1, 2015.

Effective Date: On passage

Sec. 36. Effective Dates